

Composition and Function of Individual Microbial Biofilm Matrix Components: A Key Element to Its Control

Joao M Rocha^{1,2*}

¹Centre of Biological Engineering, University of Minho, Gualtar, Portugal

²Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Porto, Portugal

***Corresponding Author:** Joao M Rocha, Centre of Biological Engineering (CEB), University of Minho, Campus Gualtar, P-4710-057 Braga, Portugal.

Received: August 26, 2016; **Published:** September 12, 2016

Abstract

Studies on the molecular characterization of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) in biofilms are still few in the literature. Understand the role of individual EPS on biofilm's function and properties is of a great importance not only to better understand the structure and activity of microbial biofilms but also to better control such biological communities (either as contaminants or as productive structures). Thus, the main challenge on the field of biofilms passes through establishing correlations between specific EPS and environmental and operating conditions, as well as between specific EPS and the structural and functional properties and resistance to physicochemical treatments. However, advances on the determination of composition, functions and properties of individual EPS matrix are only possible after develop suitable fine analytical procedures for selective and sequential extraction, separation, purification and quantification of the molecular species within the various families of EPS, viz. polysaccharides, proteins, lipids and nucleic acids. This communication underlines the importance to focus the research of the field of microbial biofilms in the direction to find out the role of individual extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) on biofilm's function and properties.

Keywords: *Microbial biofilms; Extraction, separation and quantification; Extracellular polymeric substances (EPS); Exopolysaccharides; Extracellular nucleic acids; Proteins; Lipids; Development of analytical methods*

Microbial biofilms (or simply biofilms) are structured communities of sessile microorganisms attached to a surface and encapsulated within extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) [1-5]. The presence of microbial biofilms may be desired, for instance in wastewater treatment systems and in the production of microbial biomass and metabolites [6]. However, they are often unwanted, due to negative impact in industrial plants and biomedical settings. Microbial biofilms are associated with additional problems in domestic and industrial cleaning and disinfection procedures. At this regards, it represents a major concern in the effectiveness of sanitation in food industry – hence in combating and preventing cross-contaminations and food spoilage. Moreover, they can cause energy losses and blockages in membrane systems and heat exchangers – a phenomenon known as biofouling. Accordingly, microbial biofilms and biofouling can lead to substantial increase of the operating costs in industrial facilities as diverse as membrane systems, power and desalination plants, ship hulls and equipments for drinking water treatment. Furthermore, microbial biofilms are known for the deleterious effects in hospital settings and medical devices (e.g. catheters, contact lens and implants). In fact, estimates indicate that they are responsible for more than 60-70% of all microbial infections [2,4,7-14].

In biofilms, the extracellular material matrix is composed by different biopolymers - known as EPS - mostly produced by the existing microorganisms and which are responsible for biofilm adhesion and cohesion. Analyses of EPS present several difficulties and the composition varies greatly with factors such as microorganisms, hydrodynamics experienced, nutrient availability and composition, temperature, etc. Additionally, the role and properties of individual components from the extracellular matrix is still poorly understood

[1,3-5,15-17]. EPS (also known as matrix) are mainly polysaccharides, proteins, nucleic acids, peptidoglycan and lipids, and possess several functions in biofilms. EPS are usually classified into two fractions according to the water solubility: water-soluble polymers (many polysaccharides, proteins and nucleic acids) and water-insoluble polymers (cellulose, chitin and glycolipids such as rhamnolipids). They provide mechanical stability and mediate adhesion and cohesion phenomena. Matrix components are responsible for biofilm's structural integrity – allowing cell-cell interaction, the formation of structures to access to nutrients and inhibition of antibiotics. The extracellular matrix acts as an external digestive system – due to the retention of extracellular enzymes - and recycling centre by keeping lysed components available. EPS serve as nutrients, sorption of organic and inorganic compounds, exchange of genetic material and export of cell components, electron acceptor or donor, and creates a protection against several factors, viz.: desiccation, oxidizing or charged biocides, some antibiotics and metallic cations, UV radiation, protozoan grazers and host immune defences. Amongst exopolysaccharides - the major fraction of EPS matrix – isolated from biofilm are the homopolysaccharides glucans, fructans and levans, curdlan or dextran and cellulose, and the heteropolysaccharides alginate, emulsan, gellan or xanthan, Pel (pellicle), Psl (polysaccharide synthesis locus). In addition to the enzymes, biofilm matrix accounts for several structural proteins, e.g. CdrA, TasA, Bap and proteinaceous appendages (pili, fimbriae and flagella) [1,3-5,15-17].

Selective extraction, fractionation and isolation of individual EPS components present several difficulties and deeper knowledge is required. As a result, role and properties of individual components from matrix on the biofilms as a whole is still poorly understood. Nevertheless, and as already stressed, to understand the role of EPS in biofilm architecture and properties, it is fundamental to recognize the composition, functions and properties of individual EPS matrix [1,3-5,15-17]. Isolation of individual EPS components requires multi-methods for extraction and separation, and has usually to be adapted according to the type of biofilm. Method protocols for extraction of EPS (usually by multi-method protocols) may involve physical (e.g. filtration, heating, blending, sonication, dialysis, lyophilization), chemical (e.g. buffers, tween, EDTA, formaldehyde, NaOH, cation exchanger resins) and enzymatic procedures (e.g. amylases, nucleases, proteases). Furthermore, fractionation, separation and quantification methodologies found in the literature encompasses a broad spectrum of techniques, e.g. ATR-FTIR spectroscopy, HPSEC, HPAEC-PAD, HPLC-SM, GC-MS, LC-MS, NMR [1,3,16,18-38]. The chemical, physical and enzymatic analytical methods used for extraction and separation of EPS have a major influence for characterisation of biofilms, thus selection of effective methods for extracting, separation, purification and quantification of extracellular polymeric substances must play a major concern on the studies of the role, composition and function of individual microbial biofilm matrix components.

To address the challenges in controlling the formation and development of microbial biofilms as well as in the resistance of the biofilms to physicochemical treatments, find out correlations between such effects and extracellular polymeric substances is required. The characterization and function of EPS on biofilm matrix has drawn an increasing attention but a deeper knowledge is essential. It is likely that the critical bottlenecks found in control of microbial biofilm growth and withstand to physicochemical treatments may effectively be overcome through the correlation with specific EPS - selectively extracted and further quantified with appropriated fine and effective analytical methods. Furthermore, it is expected that these efforts are of first importance to allow the advance of cutting-edge technologies for biofilm control, based on novel, efficient, sustainable and cost effective processes.

Microbial biofilms hold a major economic and environmental importance because of its strong impact in the industry and hospital settings. A better knowledge and control of the biofilm growth may lead to the employment of cheaper, more efficient and environmental friendly practices for its removal in the industrial processing units and medical devices. In addition, it may contribute to the reduction of associated nosocomial infections – thus playing important economical and social roles. Besides, a better characterization of microbial biofilms may contribute to the required knowledge towards the economical feasibility of its employment in wastewater treatments and/or microbial biomass production and generation of high-added by-products for several applications.

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to Prof. Filipe Mergulhão and Prof. Luis Melo, from the Laboratory for Process Engineering, Environment, Biotechnology and Energy, Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Porto, Portugal, for the scientific assistance and suggestions

shared. Author gratefully acknowledges the Centre of Biological Engineering (CEB) and the University of Minho (UM), Portugal, for the research grants (Ref. #uminho/bpd/49/2014 and Ref. #uminho/bpd/53/2015) under the Integrated Framework Program ON.2 BIO2N-orte – Biotechnology and Bioengineering for the Quality of Life and Sustainable Development (N-01-07-01-24-01-09). The above research was developed at the Department of Chemical Engineering (DEQ), Faculty of Engineering, University of Porto (FEUP), Portugal, therefore author acknowledges the utilization of laboratory premises to perform this project. Finally, the author also thanks the FCT Strategic Project of UID/BIO/04469/2013 unit, the project RECI/BBB-EBI/0179/2012 (FCOMP-01-0124-FEDER-027462) and the project “BioInd - Biotechnology and Bioengineering for improved Industrial and Agro-Food processes”, REF. NORTE-07-0124-FEDER-000028, co-funded by the Programa Operacional Regional do Norte (ON.2 - O Novo Norte) [Northern Regional Operational Framework Program, ON.2], QREN, FEDER.

Bibliography

1. Flemming HC and Wingender J. “The biofilm matrix”. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 8 (2010): 623-633.
2. Gomes LC., et al. “Macroscale versus microscale methods for physiological analysis of biofilms formed in 96-well microtiter plates”. *Journal of Microbiological Methods* 95.3 (2013): 342-349.
3. Lembre P, et al. “Exopolysaccharides of the Biofilm Matrix: A Complex Biophysical World. Chapter 13. In The Complex World of Polysaccharides”. *Intech* (2012): 371-392.
4. Mann EE and Wozniak DJ. “Pseudomonas biofilm matrix composition and niche biology”. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews* 36.4 (2011): 893-916.
5. Sutherland IW. “Biofilm exopolysaccharides: a strong and sticky framework”. *Microbiology* 147.1 (2001): 3-9.
6. Christenson L. “Algal biofilm production and harvesting system for wastewater-treatment with biofuels by-products”. Master degree thesis. Utah State University, Logan, Utah, (2011): 95.
7. Gomes LC., et al. “96-well microtiter plates for biofouling simulation in biomedical settings”. *Biofouling* 30.5 (2014): 535-546.
8. Kumar CG and Anand SK. “Significance of microbial biofilms in food industry: a review”. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 42.1-2 (1998): 9-27.
9. Lemos M., et al. “The effects of ferulic and salicylic acids on *Bacillus cereus* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* single- and dual-species biofilms”. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation* 86 (2014): 2-51.
10. Lin H., et al. “A critical review of extracellular polymeric substances (EPSs) in membrane bioreactors: characteristics, roles in membrane fouling and control strategies”. *Journal of Membrane Science* 460 (2014): 110-125.
11. Mizan Md FR., et al. “Microbial biofilms in seafood: A food-hygiene challenge”. *Food Microbiology* 49 (2015): 41-55.
12. Moreira JMR., et al. “The effects of surface properties on *Escherichia coli* adhesion are modulated by shear stress”. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces* 123 (2014): 1-7.
13. Verran J. “Biofouling in food processing. Biofilm or biotransfer potential?” *Trans IChemE 80, Part C* (2002): 292-298.
14. Moreira JMR., et al. “The impact of material properties, nutrient load and shear stress on biofouling in food industries. *Food and Bioproducts Processing* 95 (2015): 228-236.
15. Badireddy AP, et al. “Role of extracellular polymeric substances in bioflocculation of activated sludge microorganisms under glucose-controlled conditions”. *Water Research* 44 (2010): 4505-4516.

16. Ras M., *et al.* "Extracellular polymeric substances diversity of biofilms grown under contrasted environmental conditions". *Water Research* 45.4 (2011): 1529-1538.
17. Zhurina MV, *et al.* "Composition and Functions of the Extracellular Polymer Matrix of Bacterial Biofilms". *Microbiology* 83.6 (2014): 713-722.
18. Adav SS and Lee DJ. "Characterization of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) from phenol degrading aerobic granules". *Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers* 42 (2011): 645-651.
19. Alasonati E and Slaveykova VI. "Effects of extraction methods on the composition and molar mass distributions of exopolymeric substances of the bacterium *Sinorhizobium meliloti*". *Bioresource Technology* 114 (2012): 603-609.
20. Bin Z., *et al.* "Extraction and analysis of extracellular polymeric substances in membrane fouling in submerged MBR". *Desalination* 227.1-3 (2008): 286-294.
21. Chabaliná LD., *et al.* "Characterization of soluble and bound EPS obtained from 2 submerged membrane bioreactors by 3D-EEM and HPSEC". *Talanta* 115 (2013): 706-712.
22. Chen T, *et al.* "Formation and characterization of extracellular polymeric substance from *Shewanella xiamenensis* BC01 under calcium stimulation". *Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers* 57 (2015): 1-7.
23. Comte S., *et al.* "Effect of extraction method on EPS from activated sludge: An HPSEC investigation". *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 140.1-2 (2007): 129-137.
24. Denkhaus E., *et al.* "Chemical and physical methods for characterisation of biofilms". *Microchimica Acta* 158 (2007): 1-27.
25. Görner T, *et al.* "Activated sludge exopolymers: separation and identification using size exclusion chromatography and infrared micro-spectroscopy". *Water Research* 37 (2003): 2388-2393.
26. Kunacheva C and Stuckey DC. "Analytical methods for soluble microbial products (SMP) and extracellular polymers (ECP) in wastewater treatment systems: A review". *Water Research* 61 (2014): 1-18.
27. Lee BM., *et al.* "Comparison of the characteristics of extracellular polymeric substances for two different extraction methods and sludge formation conditions". *Chemosphere* 90 (2013): 237-244.
28. Liang Z., *et al.* "Extraction and structural characteristics of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS), pellets in autotrophic nitrifying biofilm and activated sludge". *Chemosphere* 81.5 (2010): 626-632.
29. Liu L., *et al.* "Extraction and characterization of bound extracellular polymeric substances from cultured pure cyanobacterium (*Microcystis wesenbergii*)". *Journal of Environmental Sciences* 26.8 (2014): 1725-1732.
30. Lorite GS. *et al.* "On the role of extracellular polymeric substances during early stages of *Xylella fastidiosa* biofilm formation". *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces* 102 (2013): 519-525.
31. Mojica K., *et al.* "Quantitative analysis of biofilm EPS uronic acid content". *Journal of Microbiological Methods* 71 (2007): 61-65.
32. Ras M., *et al.* "A high yield multi-method extraction protocol for protein quantification in activated sludge". *Bioresource Technology* 99 (2008): 7464-7471.
33. Ras M., *et al.* "Distribution and hydrophobic properties of extracellular polymeric substances in biofilms in relation towards cohesion". *Journal of Biotechnology* 165 (2013): 85-92.
34. Sabra W., *et al.* "Bacterial alginate: physiology, product quality and process aspects". *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 56 (2001): 315-325.

35. Sun M., *et al.* "Selection of effective methods for extracting extracellular polymeric substances (EPSs) from *Bacillus megaterium* TF10". *Separation and Purification Technology* 95 (2012): 216-221.
36. Wang H., *et al.* "In situ characterization and analysis of *Salmonella* biofilm formation under meat processing environments using a combined microscopic and spectroscopic approach". *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 167 (2013): 293-302.
37. Yang S., *et al.* "Separation, purification and characterisation of extracellular polysaccharides produced by slime-forming *Lactococcus lactis* ssp. *cremoris* strains". *International Dairy Journal* 9.9 (1999): 631-638.
38. Zuriaga-Agustí E., *et al.* "Influence of extraction methods on proteins and carbohydrates analysis from MBR activated sludge flocs in view of improving EPS determination". *Separation and Purification Technology* 112 (2013): 1-10.

Volume 2 Issue 2 September 2016

© All rights reserved by Joao M Rocha.